

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/040,142	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KELLY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter A. Hruskoci	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.(2) Linsay S. Adams.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 April 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/13/06*

Claims discussed:

1, 8


Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claim 1 and cancel claim 8 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of paragraphs [0006], [00012], [00021], and [00022] of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy..